## Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP81-00896R000100240012-9

12 FEBRUARY 1976

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR: CHIEF OF STATION,

25X1A

SUBJECT

: OTR AUDIT SURVEY

REFERENCE

: MEMO TO DTR FROM C/AS/OIG DATED 20 JANUARY 1976,

SAME SUBJECT

25X1A

1. I THINK I SPEAK FOR THE DD/O IN STATING THAT THE DD/O HAS NO PARTICULAR DESIRE TO ADMINISTRATIVELY CONTROL OPERATIONS TRAINING AT OR IN ALT. What he does want is recognition of the fact that THE PRODUCT FROM OPERATIONS TRAINING COURSES WILL SERVE IN THE DO AND THE TYPE OF TRAINING AND THE QUALITY OF THE TRAINING MUST BE RESPONSIVE TO THE DO REQUIREMENT. TO INSURE THAT THIS IS DONE, THE FOLLOWING MECHANISM IS ALREADY IN EFFECT:

25X1A

A. THE OPERATIONS TRAINING GROUP IS UNDER THE EFFECTIVE DAY-TO-DAY SUPERVISION OF A DO OFFICER. 90% OF THE INSTRUCTOR STAFF ARE DO OFFICERS.

25X1A

- B. THE DEPUTY FOR OPERATIONS TRAINING/TR HEADQUARTERS IS PLACED SO THAT HE CAN CONVEY THE POSITION OF THE DD/O TO OTR HEADQUARTERS IN RESPECT TO OPERATIONS TRAINING, BOTH ALT, AND THOSE OTHER TRAINING ACTIVITIES THAT AFFECT THE DO; FOR EXAMPLE, MANAGEMENT COURSES, LANGUAGE TRAINING, ETC.
- c. THE DO TRAINING TASK FORCE IS A MECHANISM WHICH IS CAPABLE OF IDENTIFYING OPERATING DIVISION TRAINING NEEDS IN RESPECT TO OPERATIONS TRAINING COURSES. THIS TE SHOULD BE INSTITUTIONALIZED RATHER THAN OPERATE ON AN AD HOC BASIS AS IS NOW THE CASE.
- MY EXPERIENCE SO FAR HAS SHOWN THAT OTR HAS NOT INTRUDED IT-SELF INTO THE DAY-TO-DAY TRAINING ACTIVITIES IN OPERATIONS TRAINING. Except in minor and insignificant ways, OTR has deferred to DO desires IN RESPECT TO WHAT SHOULD BE TAUGHT AND HOW MUCH OF IT SHOULD BE TAUGHT. TEACHING METHODOLOGY IS ANOTHER MATTER AND | BELIEVE OTR RIGHTFULLY CAN CLAIM TO EXPERTISE IN THIS FIELD. I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY SERIOUS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OTR AND THE DO ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE. IN SUMMARY, THE DO IN FACT CONDUCTS THE TRAINING PRETTY MUCH AS IT SEES FIT, MORE BECAUSE THERE IS A COMMUNITY OF VIEWS BETWEEN THE DO AND OTR RATHER THAN ANY COMMAND RELATIONSHIP.

E2 IMPDET CL BY 060604

## Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP81-00896R000100240012-9

25X1A

3. Mr. Nelson has stated, on numerous occasions, that he has no interest in assuming management responsibility for the So Long as AND OTR management do not become so involved in operations training on a day-to-day basis as to detract from the DO input, I see no possibility that Mr. Nelson will want to take operations training under his wing. By the same token, you could also say that language training responds primarily, if not completely, to DO requirements and thus should come under the DO. Mr. Nelson surely is not interested in this one.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

4. THE AUDIT REPORT IS INCORRECT IN STATING THAT THE DO HAS THE CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE ITS OWN OPS TRAINING. OF COURSE, THE DO CAN DO THIS BY REORGANIZING AND ESTABLISHING A TRAINING COMPONENT USING THE DO OFFICERS HERE AND IN ALT. IT WOULD ALSO NEED SPACE TO HANDLE THE TRAINING, I. E.,

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

5. As for the portion of the report, operations training accounts for about 50 - 60% of the facility utilization at the This does not mean, at least not to me, that because operations training utilizes the facilities more than any other activity that the must be under the DO. It does mean that DO equities must be considered. If the becomes essentially a logistics base or station responding primarily to DDA requirements (non-ops training requirements), perhaps a log officer or an M carcerist should be the COS. However, if this resulted in either type officer intruding into matters affecting operations training, then I believe we have the basis for a problem down the line. If there is an equal division between the use of this installation between DO equities and DA equities, I believe a DO officer to be as well qualified to run the as any other officer. If operations training is secondary in the scale of priorities at the then clearly the DO will have to adjust or move elsewhere. I do

THEN CLEARLY THE DO WILL HAVE TO ADJUST OR MOVE ELSEWHERE. | DO NOT SEE EITHER POSSIBILITIES OCCURRING. IN SUMMARY, THE CURRENT SYSTEM SEEMS TO BE WORKING WELL SO WHY FOOL WITH IT. IF THE DO PROGRAM IS BEING SHORTCHANGED AT THE THERE IS AN ESTABLISHED MECHANISM TO BRING THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF THE DD/O, DTR, AND THE DD/A.

25X1A

DISTRIBUTION:

ORIG AND 1 - ADDRESSEE

1 - DEP/OPS

## Approved For Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP81-00896B000100240012-9

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTURNAL USE ONLY

4 February 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief of Station

SUBJECT

: OTR Audit Survey

REFERENCE

: Memo for DTR from C/AS/OIG, dtd 20 Jan 76.

same subject

## 1. Following are my comments regarding the subject survey:

a. The audit remarks regarding DDO training are certainly not the result of any new enlightenment. As you know, this has been a much discussed topic. I happen to agree that the responsibility should be DDO's. It follows, of course, that the DDO training personnel would

have to keep up with training techniques, etc. Also the matter of whose STATINTL budget (DDO or would include what costs would have to be worked out. I believe the indication that the OTR Deputy for Ops training and the STATINTL Deputy for Ops positions might be eliminated is somewhat misleading. Certainly, the OTR position could go but the Deputy for Ops (whatever STATINTLE) one might call it) would have to remain and function essentially as the Dep/Ops does today. The chain of command would perhaps change in that STATINTL the operations training would merely be sattelited on for administrative support.

- STATINTL b. Regarding the matter of the status of I believe you know my feelings from past discussions. I believe the should function directly under the DDA and the COS should be an "M" officer. An officer with a general knowledge of all DDA functions and a knowledge of DDO fieldSTATINTL activities would, in my opinion, be an ideal candidate. I assume they are using the term "logistical" in a broad sense. As you well know, the functions of the Support Group go far beyond the functions associated with the Office of Logistics. I point this out lest someone considers putting under OL.
- STATINTL c. If one considers transferring Ops training to the DDO, then it seems to me the responsibility for MOTC should go to the DDO also. STATINTL

In conclusion, if the questions raised are to be reviewed, the Audit Staff should, in my opinion, drop out. A joint task force or perhaps an additional task for the group would be more appropriate.

STATINTL

Distribution:

Orig - COS

Approved 5% Release 2001/03/04 : CIA-RDP81-00896R000100240012-9

Deputy for Support

SUBJECT: (Optional)	OTR Audi	T Supur	· 10 100 - 100		
	OTT AGDIT SORYET			EXENSION NO.	
FROM:	CHIEF OF STA	CHIEF OF STATION			DATE 13 FEBRUARY 1976
TO: (Officer designation,	, room number, and	om number, and DATE			
building)		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from w to whom. Draw a line across column after each comme
1. DDTF 1026	R 5 C/C B∟og				PER INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE DTR, AT TACHED HEREWITH ARE THE COMMENTS OF THE DEPUTY FOR OPERATIONS AND DEPUTY FOR SUPPORT CONCERNING THE IG'S AUDIT SURVEY OF
2. DTR 1026	C/C BLDG			no e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
3.				retrict Residentians a strong and artist and additional	OTR. ALTHOUGH I FIND THAT THE DD/A HAS REPLIED TO THE AUDIT SURVEY, I AM FORWARDING THE AFOR
4.					MENTIONED COMMENTS FOR YOUR INFO
5.					
6.					JA JA
7.					
8.					· ·
φ.					
10.			ar - 1000 g rayman and ar a		
11,		A. SERVICE CO		r Paris - 19 distribution mayor a symmetric	
12.					*
13.				in to miny minimizer make remaked and all a deal	¥
14.	Marting the calls are a substitute for the state of the s				
15.	erker dahan samankan kara kara kara karan karan da baran (1964). Saman karan karan karan karan karan karan kar			the cold residency of the surgician	